SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark	One)	
[X]	QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SEC EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2001	
	OR	
[]	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SEC EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the transition period from	TION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES to
	Commission file numb	per <u>0-4776</u>
STURM, RUGER & COMPANY, INC		MPANY, INC.
	(Exact name of registrant as sp	ecified in its charter)
	Delaware	06-0633559
	(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. employer identification no.)

(203) 259-7843

(Zip code)

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Lacey Place, Southport, Connecticut (Address of principal executive offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such requirements for the past 90 days. Yes __X__ No _____

The number of shares outstanding of the issuer's common stock as of March 31, 2001: Common Stock, \$1 par value - 26,910,720.

INDEX

STURM, RUGER & COMPANY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1.	Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
	ensed consolidated balance sheetsMarch 31, 2001 and other 31, 2000	3
	ensed consolidated statements of incomeThree months ended 31, 2001 and 2000	5
	ensed consolidated statements of cash flowsThree months March 31, 2001 and 2000	6
Notes	to condensed consolidated financial statementsMarch 31, 2001	7
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	12
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	16
PART II.	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. Item 6.	Legal Proceedings Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K	17 17
SIGNATU	RES	18

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) STURM, RUGER & COMPANY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	March 31, 2001 (unaudited)	December 31, 2000 (Note)
Assets		· · ·
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,272	\$ 4,073
Short-term investments	59,215	65,875
Trade receivables, less allowances for	53,210	35,375
doubtful accounts (\$1,245 and \$1,252) and discounts (\$642 and \$1,130)	17,035	14,354
Inventories:	17,033	14,334
Finished products	15,737	13,779
Materials and products in process	43,021	37,585
reactives and products in process	58,758	51,364
Deferred income taxes	7,085	7,061
Prepaid expenses and other assets	3,022	5,728
Total Current Assets	148,387	148,455
Property, Plant and Equipment	152,746	151,531
Less allowances for depreciation	(110,128)	(108,206)
	42,618	43,325
Deferred income taxes	562	1,076
Other assets	18,208	18,245
	209,775	\$211,101

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) STURM, RUGER & COMPANY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	March 31, 2001 (unaudited)	December 31, 2000 (Note)
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current Liabilities Trade accounts payable and accrued expenses Product safety modifications Product liability Employee compensation Workers' compensation Income taxes Total Current Liabilities	\$ 5,877 467 3,000 11,347 4,895 1,125	\$ 5,431 486 3,000 10,170 4,836 1,512
Product liability accrual	26,711 11,954	25,435 13,308
Contingent liabilitiesNote 7 Stockholders' Equity Common Stock, non-voting, par value \$1: Authorized shares 50,000; none issued Common Stock, par value \$1: Authorized shares -	-	-
40,000,000; issued and outstanding 26,910,700 Additional paid-in capital Retained earnings Accumulated other comprehensive income	26,911 2,434 141,877 (112) 171,110 209,775	26,911 2,434 143,125 (112) 172,358 \$211,101

Note:

The balance sheet at December 31, 2000 has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date but does not include all the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements.

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

STURM, RUGER & COMPANY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (UNAUDITED) (In thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months E 2001	Ended March 31, 2000
Net firearms sales Net castings sales	\$35,837 8,027	\$51,095 8,794
Total net sales	43,864	59,889
Cost of products sold	31,897 11,967	41,437 18,452
Expenses: Selling General and administrative	4,266 1,969 6,235 5,732	3,648 1,418 5,066 13,386
Other income-net	1,067	1,459
Income before income taxes	6,799	14,845
Income taxes	2,665	5,819
Net income	\$ 4,134	\$ 9,026
Basic and diluted earnings per share	<u>\$0.15</u>	<u>\$0.34</u>
Cash dividends per share	<u>\$0.20</u>	<u>\$0.20</u>

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

STURM, RUGER & COMPANY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED) (In thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
<u> </u>	2001	2000
Cash Provided by (Used by) Operating Activities	\$ (864)	\$ 14,309
Investing Activities		
Property, plant and equipment additions	(1,215)	(1,353)
Purchases of short-term investments	(39,020)	(41,355)
Proceeds from sales or maturities of		
short-term investments	45,680	30,770
Cash provided by (used by) investing activities	5,445	(11,938)
Financing Activities		
Dividends paid	(5,382)	(5,382)
Cash used by financing activities	(5,382)	(5,382)
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(801)	(3,011)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	4,073	8,164
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$3,272	\$5,153

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

March 31, 2001

NOTE 1--BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements.

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals, considered necessary for a fair presentation of the results of the interim periods. Operating results for the three months ended March 31, 2001 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year ending December 31, 2001. For further information refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Sturm, Ruger & Company, Inc. Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000.

NOTE 2--SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Organization: Sturm, Ruger & Company, Inc. ("Company") is principally engaged in the design, manufacture, and sale of firearms and investment castings. The Company's design and manufacturing operations are located in the United States. Substantially all sales are domestic. The Company's firearms are sold through a select number of distributors to the sporting and law enforcement markets. Investment castings are sold either directly to or through manufacturers' representatives to companies in a wide variety of industries.

Use of Estimates: The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Principles of Consolidation: The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

NOTE 3--INVENTORIES

Inventories are valued using the last-in, first-out (LIFO) method. An actual valuation of inventory under the LIFO method can be made only at the end of each year based on the inventory levels and costs existing at that time. Accordingly, interim LIFO calculations must necessarily be based on management's estimates of expected year-end inventory levels and costs. Because these are subject to many forces beyond management's control, interim results are subject to the final year-end LIFO inventory valuation.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)--CONTINUED

NOTE 4--INCOME TAXES

The Company's effective tax rate differs from the statutory tax rate principally as a result of state income taxes. Total income tax payments during the three months ended March 31, 2001 and 2000 were \$0.1 million and \$2.0 million, respectively.

NOTE 5--BASIC AND DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share is based upon the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share reflect the impact of options outstanding using the treasury stock method, when applicable.

NOTE 6--COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

As there were no non-owner changes in equity during the first quarter of 2001 and 2000, total comprehensive income equals net income, or \$4.1 million and \$9.0 million, respectively.

NOTE 7--CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

As of March 31, 2001, the Company is a defendant in approximately 40 lawsuits involving its products and is aware of certain other such claims. These lawsuits and claims fall within two categories:

- (i) those that claim damages from the Company related to allegedly defective product design which stem from a specific incident. These lawsuits and claims are based principally on the theory of "strict liability" but also may be based on negligence, breach of warranty, and other legal theories, and
- those brought by cities, municipalities, counties, individuals (including certain (ii) putative class actions) and one state Attorney General against numerous firearms manufacturers, distributors and dealers seeking to recover damages allegedly arising out of the misuse of firearms by third parties in the commission of homicides, suicides and other shootings involving juveniles and adults. complaints by municipalities seek damages, among other things, for the costs of medical care, police and emergency services, public health services, and the maintenance of courts, prisons, and other services. In certain instances, the plaintiffs seek to recover for decreases in property values and loss of business within the city due to criminal violence. In addition, nuisance abatement and/or injunctive relief is sought to change the design, manufacture, marketing and distribution practices of the various defendants. These suits allege, among other claims, strict liability or negligence in the design of products, public nuisance, negligent entrustment, negligent distribution, deceptive or fraudulent advertising, violation of consumer protection statutes and conspiracy or concert of action theories. None of these cases allege a specific injury to a specific individual as a result of the misuse or use of any of the Company's products.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)--CONTINUED

Management believes that, in every case, the allegations are unfounded, and that the shootings and any results therefrom were due to negligence or misuse of the firearms by third-parties or the claimant, and that there should be no recovery against the Company. Defenses further exist to the suits brought by cities, municipalities, counties, and the Attorney General based, among other reasons, on established state law precluding recovery by municipalities for essential government services, the remoteness of the claims, the types of damages sought to be recovered, and limitations on the extraterritorial authority which may be exerted by a city, municipality, county or state under state and federal law, including State and Federal Constitutions.

The only case against the Company alleging liability for criminal shootings by third-parties to ever be permitted to go before a jury, <u>Hamilton</u>, <u>et. al.</u> v. Accu-tek, <u>et. al.</u>, resulted in a defense verdict in favor of the Company on February 11, 1999. In that case, numerous firearms manufacturers and distributors had been sued, alleging damages as a result of alleged negligent sales practices and "industry-wide" liability. The Company and its marketing and distribution practices were exonerated from any claims of negligence in each of the seven cases decided by the jury. The Court upheld the verdict of the jury and dismissed each case as to the Company in its later opinion. The three defendants found liable have filed a notice of appeal from the Court's decision. On August 16, 2000, the U.S. 2nd Circuit Court of Appeals certified certain questions involving the appeal to the Appellate Division of the New York State Supreme Court for resolution. Oral argument on those certified questions was heard in the New York Appellate Division on February 8, 2001.

On October 7, 1999 a lawsuit brought against the Company and numerous firearms manufacturers and distributors by the mayor of Cincinnati, City of Cincinnati v. Beretta U.S.A. Corp., et. al., was dismissed. This was the first dismissal of one of the lawsuits which have been filed by certain cities, municipalities and counties. The Ohio Court of Appeals affirmed this decision on August 11, 2000. Such lawsuits filed by the cities of Bridgeport, Miami, Chicago, Camden County, Philadelphia, and Gary have been completely dismissed and those filed by the cities of Atlanta and Wilmington have been partially dismissed. The Cleveland suit has withstood an initial motion to dismiss in the trial court, and in New Orleans the Court declared legislation passed to prohibit such suits unconstitutional. However, on April 3, 2001, the Louisiana Supreme Court reversed this decision, finding the statute to be constitutional, and it dismissed the case. The Detroit/Wayne County case was also partially dismissed, and the Michigan legislature has also passed legislation precluding such suits, as have about twenty other states. The Boston case and the California city claims (consolidated into one case) have been permitted to proceed into the discovery phase. Appeals of all trial court decisions are pending or will be filed when appropriate. Motions to dismiss other such lawsuits are pending or will be filed when timely.

The Company's management monitors the status of known claims and the product liability accrual, which includes amounts for asserted and unasserted claims. While it is not possible to forecast the outcome of litigation or the timing of costs, in the opinion of management, after consultation with special and corporate counsel, it is not probable and is unlikely that litigation, including punitive damage claims, will have a material adverse effect on the financial position of the Company, but may have a material impact on the Company's financial results for a particular period.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)--CONTINUED

Punitive damages, as well as compensatory damages, are demanded in many of the lawsuits and claims. Aggregate claimed amounts presently exceed product liability accruals and applicable insurance coverage. As of March 18, 1982, compensatory and punitive damage insurance coverage is provided, in States where permitted, for losses exceeding \$1.0 million of loss per occurrence or an aggregate maximum loss of \$4.0 million. For claims which the Company has been notified in writing between July 10, 1988, through July 10, 1989, coverage is provided for losses exceeding \$2.5 million per claim or an aggregate maximum loss of \$9.0 million. For claims made between July 10, 1989, and July 10, 1991, the aggregate maximum loss is \$7.5 million. For claims made after July 10, 1992, coverage is provided for losses exceeding \$2.25 million per claim, or an aggregate maximum loss of \$6.5 million. For claims made after July 10, 1994, coverage is provided for losses exceeding \$2.0 million per claim, or an aggregate maximum loss of \$6.0 million. For claims made after July 10, 1997, coverage is provided for annual losses exceeding \$2.0 million per claim, or an aggregate maximum loss of \$5.5 million annually. For claims made after July 10, 2000, coverage is provided for annual losses exceeding \$5 million per claim, or an aggregate maximum loss of \$10 million annually, except for certain new claims which might be brought by governments or municipalities after July 10, 2000, which are excluded from coverage.

On March 17, 2000, Smith & Wesson announced that it had reached a settlement to conclude some of the municipal lawsuits with various governmental entities. On March 30, 2000, the Office of the Connecticut Attorney General began an investigation of certain alleged "anticompetitive practices in the firearms industry." On April 17, the State of Maryland's Attorney General also made similar inquiries as to the Company. On August 9, 2000, the U.S. Federal Trade Commission also filed such a civil investigative demand regarding the Smith & Wesson settlement. The Company has not engaged in any improper conduct and has cooperated with these investigations.

The Company has reported all cases instituted against it through December 31, 2000 and the results of those cases, where terminated, to the S.E.C. on its previous Form 10-K and 10-Q reports, to which reference is hereby made.

NOTE 8--OPERATING SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company has two reportable segments: firearms and investment castings. The firearms segment manufactures and sells rifles, pistols, revolvers, and shotguns principally to a select number of independent wholesale distributors primarily located in the United States. The investment castings segment consists of two operating divisions which manufacture and sell titanium and steel investment castings.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)-CONTINUED

Selected operating segment financial information follows (in thousands):

Quarter ended March 31,	2001	2000
Net Sales		
Firearms	\$ 35,837	\$ 51,095
Castings		
Unaffiliated	8,027	8,794
Intersegment	11,142	7,829
	19,169	16,623
Eliminations	(11,142)	(7,829)
	\$ 43,864	\$ 59,889
Income Before Income Taxes		
Firearms	\$ 5,090	\$ 13,183
Castings (a)	735	570
Corporate	974	1,092
	\$ 6,799	\$ 14,845
	March 31,	December 31,
Identifiable Assets	2001	2000
Firearms	\$ 88,680	\$ 79,230
Castings	33,898	33,043
Corporate	87,197	98,828
Corporate	\$209,775	\$211,101

⁽a) Income before income taxes for the quarter ended March 31, 2000 was favorably impacted by an inventory adjustment of \$1.3 million.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Results of Operations

Consolidated net sales of \$43.9 million were achieved by the Company in the first quarter of 2001. This represents a decrease of \$16.0 million or 26.8% from the first quarter of 2000 consolidated net sales of \$59.9 million.

Firearms segment net sales were \$35.8 million in the first quarter of 2001 compared to \$51.1 million in the corresponding 2000 period, a decrease of \$15.3 million or 29.9%. Firearms unit shipments decreased 38.0%. In 2001, the Company instituted a sales incentive program for its distributors which allows them to earn rebates of up to 3% if certain annual overall sales targets are achieved. This program replaces a sales incentive program in 2000 which allowed rebates of up to 15% if certain annual overall sales targets were achieved.

Castings segment net sales decreased 8.7% from \$8.8 million in the first quarter of 2000 to \$8.0 million in the first quarter of 2001. This decline is primarily attributable to the absence of aluminum casting sales as the Company sold its Uni-Cast Division during the second quarter of 2000

Consolidated cost of products sold for the first quarter of 2001 was \$31.9 million compared to \$41.4 million for the first quarter of 2000, a decrease of \$9.5 million or 23.0%. This was primarily attributable to lower firearms and castings sales, as discussed above.

Gross profit as a percentage of net sales decreased to 27.3% in the first quarter of 2001 from 30.8% in the comparable 2000 period. This deterioration is primarily attributable to the reduced sales levels in both segments.

Selling, general & administrative expenses increased to \$6.2 million in the first quarter of 2001 from \$5.1 million in the first quarter of 2000 due in part to start-up costs related to a voluntary firearms lock exchange program.

Other income-net decreased by \$0.4 million or 26.9% to \$1.1 million in 2001 from \$1.5 million in 2000 due to decreased earnings on short-term investments resulting from reduced principal and lower yields on these investments.

The effective income tax rate was 39.2% in the first quarter of 2001 and 2000.

As a result of the foregoing factors, consolidated net income for the first quarter of 2001 decreased to \$4.1 million from \$9.0 million for the first quarter of 2000 representing a decrease of \$4.9 million or 54.2%.

Financial Condition

At March 31, 2001, the Company had cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments of \$62.5 million, working capital of \$121.7 million and a current ratio of 5.6 to 1, compared to \$69.9 million, \$123.0 million, and 5.8 to 1, respectively, at December 31, 2000.

Operating activities used \$0.9 million in the first quarter of 2001 and provided \$14.3 million for the first quarter of 2000. This change in cash flows is principally a result of reduced earnings in 2001 and an increase in inventories in 2001.

The Company follows an industry-wide practice of offering a "dating plan" to its firearms customers on selected products, which allows the purchasing distributor to buy the products commencing in December, the start of the Company's dating plan year, and pay for them on extended terms. Discounts are offered for early payment. The dating plan provides a revolving payment plan under which payments for all shipments made during the period December through February have to be made by April 30. Generally, shipments made in subsequent months have to be paid for within approximately 90 days. Dating plan receivable balances were \$8.4 million at March 31, 2001 and \$11.2 million at March 31, 2000. The Company has reserved the right to discontinue the dating plan at any time and has been able to finance this dating plan from internally generated funds provided by operating activities.

Capital expenditures during the three months ended March 31, 2001 totaled \$1.2 million. For the past two years capital expenditures averaged approximately \$1.5 million per quarter. In 2001, the Company expects to spend approximately \$9.5 million on capital expenditures in its ongoing effort to upgrade and modernize all of its divisions. The Company finances, and intends to continue to finance, all of these activities with funds provided by operations.

For the three months ended March 31, 2001 dividends paid totaled \$5.4 million. This amount reflects the regular quarterly dividend of \$.20 per share paid on March 15, 2001. Future dividends will depend on many factors, including internal estimates of future performance and the Company's need for funds.

Historically, the Company has not required external financing. Based on its cash flow and unencumbered assets, the Company believes it has the ability to raise substantial amounts of short-term or long-term debt. The Company does not anticipate any need for external financing through 2001.

The purchase of firearms is subject to federal, state and local governmental regulations. The basic federal laws are the National Firearms Act, the Federal Firearms Act, and the Gun Control Act of 1968. These laws generally prohibit the private ownership of fully automatic weapons and place certain restrictions on the interstate sale of firearms unless certain licenses are obtained. The Company does not manufacture fully automatic weapons, other than for the law enforcement market, and holds all necessary licenses under these federal laws. From time to time, congressional committees review proposed bills relating to the regulation of firearms. These proposed bills generally seek either to restrict or ban the sale and, in some cases, the ownership of various types of firearms. Several states currently have laws in effect similar to the aforementioned legislation.

Until November 30, 1998, the "Brady Law" mandated a nationwide five-day waiting period and background check prior to the purchase of a handgun. As of November 30, 1998, the National Instant Check System, which applies to both handguns and long guns, replaced the five-day waiting period. The Company believes that the "Brady Law" has not had a significant effect on the Company's sales of firearms, nor does it anticipate any impact on sales in the future. The "Crime Bill" took effect on September 13, 1994, but none of the Company's products were banned as so-called "assault weapons."

To the contrary, all the Company's then-manufactured long guns were exempted by name as "legitimate sporting firearms." The Company remains strongly opposed to laws which would restrict the rights of law-abiding citizens to lawfully acquire firearms. The Company believes that the private ownership of firearms is guaranteed by the Second Amendment to the United States Constitution and that the widespread private ownership of firearms in the United States will continue. However, there can be no assurance that the regulation of firearms will not become more restrictive in the future and that any such restriction would not have a material adverse effect on the business of the Company.

The Company is a defendant in numerous lawsuits involving its products and is aware of certain other such claims. The Company has expended significant amounts of financial resources and management time in connection with product liability litigation. Management believes that, in every case, the allegations are unfounded, and that the shootings and any results therefrom were due to negligence or misuse of the firearm by third parties or the claimant, and that there should be no recovery against the Company. Defenses further exist to the suits brought by cities, municipalities, counties, and State Attorneys General based, among other reasons, on established state law precluding recovery by municipalities for essential government services, the remoteness of the claims, the types of damages sought to be recovered, and limitations on the extraterritorial authority which may be exerted by a city, municipality, county or state under state and federal law, including State and Federal Constitutions.

The only case against the Company alleging liability for criminal shootings by third-parties to ever be permitted to go before a jury, <u>Hamilton, et. al. v. Accu-tek, et. al.</u>, resulted in a defense verdict in favor of the Company on February 11, 1999. In that case, numerous firearms manufacturers and distributors had been sued, alleging damages as a result of alleged negligent sales practices and "industry-wide" liability. The Company and its marketing and distribution practices were exonerated from any claims of negligence in each of the seven cases decided by the jury. The Court upheld the verdict of the jury and dismissed each case as to the Company in its later opinion. The three defendants found liable have filed a notice of appeal from the Court's decision. On August 16, 2000, the U.S. 2nd Circuit Court of Appeals certified certain questions involving the appeal to the Appellate Division of the New York State Supreme Court for resolution. Oral argument on those certified questions was heard in the New York Appellate Division on February 8, 2001.

On October 7, 1999 a lawsuit brought against the Company and numerous firearms manufacturers and distributors by the mayor of Cincinnati, City of Cincinnati v. Beretta U.S.A. Corp., et. al., was dismissed. This was the first dismissal of one of the lawsuits which have been filed by certain cities, municipalities and counties. The Ohio Court of Appeals affirmed this decision on August 11, 2000. Such lawsuits filed by the cities of Bridgeport, Miami, Chicago, Camden County, Philadelphia, and Gary have been completely dismissed and those filed by the cities of Atlanta and Wilmington have been partially dismissed. The Cleveland suit has withstood an initial motion to dismiss in the trial court, and in New Orleans the Court declared legislation passed to prohibit such suits unconstitutional. However, on April 3, 2001, the Louisiana Supreme Court reversed this decision, finding the statute to be constitutional, and it dismissed the case. The Detroit/Wayne County case was also partially dismissed, and the Michigan legislature has also passed legislation precluding such suits, as have about twenty other states. The Boston case and the California city claims (consolidated into one case) have been permitted to proceed into the discovery phase. Appeals of all trial court decisions are pending or will be filed when appropriate. Motions to dismiss other such lawsuits are pending or will be filed when timely.

The Company's management monitors the status of known claims and the product liability accrual, which includes amounts for asserted and unasserted claims. While it is not possible to forecast the outcome of litigation or the timing of costs, in the opinion of management, after consultation with special and corporate counsel, it is not probable and is unlikely that litigation, including punitive damage claims, will have a material adverse effect on the financial position of the Company, but may have a material impact on the Company's financial results for a particular period.

In the normal course of its manufacturing operations, the Company is subject to occasional governmental proceedings and orders pertaining to waste disposal, air emissions and water discharges into the environment. The Company believes that it is generally in compliance with applicable environmental regulations and the outcome of such proceedings and orders will not have a material adverse effect on its business.

The Company expects to realize its deferred tax assets through tax deductions against future taxable income or carry back against taxes previously paid.

Inflation's effect on the Company's operations is most immediately felt in cost of products sold because the Company values inventory on the LIFO basis. Generally under this method, the cost of products sold reported in the financial statements approximates current costs, and thus, reduces distortion in reported income which would result from the slower recognition of increased costs when other methods are used. The use of historical cost depreciation has a beneficial effect on cost of products sold. The Company has been affected by inflation in line with the general economy.

Forward-Looking Statements and Projections

The Company may, from time to time, make forward-looking statements and projections concerning future expectations. Such statements are based on current expectations and are subject to certain qualifying risks and uncertainties, such as market demand, sales levels of firearms, anticipated castings sales and earnings, the need for external financing for operations or capital expenditures, the results of pending litigation against the Company including lawsuits filed by mayors, attorneys general and other governmental entities and membership organizations, and the impact of future firearms control and environmental legislation, any one or more of which could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date made. The Company undertakes no obligation to publish revised forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date such forward-looking statements are made or to reflect the occurrence of subsequent unanticipated events.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company is exposed to changes in prevailing market interest rates affecting the return on its investments but does not consider this interest rate market risk exposure to be material to its financial condition or results of operations. The Company invests primarily in United States Treasury instruments with short-term (less than one year) maturities. The carrying amount of these investments approximates fair value due to the short-term maturities. Under its current policies, the Company does not use derivative financial instruments, derivative commodity instruments or other financial instruments to manage its exposure to changes in interest rates or commodity prices.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The nature of the legal proceedings against the Company is discussed at Note 7 to the condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-Q report, which is incorporated herein by reference.

The Company has reported all cases instituted against it through December 31, 2000, and the results of those cases, where terminated, to the S.E.C. on its previous Form 10-K and 10-Q reports, to which reference is hereby made.

The following cases were instituted against the Company during the three months ended March 31, 2001, which involved significant demands for compensatory and/or punitive damages:

<u>Larkins v. Company</u> (MO) in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri. The complaint, which was served on February 7, 2001, alleges that the plaintiff's Ruger revolver accidentally discharged when it was dropped from a rig, resulting in fatal injury to him. Plaintiffs' estate seeks compensatory and punitive damages, plus other fees to be determined by the court.

During the three months ending March 31, 2001, two previously-reported cases were settled:

Case Name	<u>Jurisdiction</u>	
Martin	Florida	
Dibble	Alaska	

The settlement amounts were within the Company's limits of its self-insurance coverage.

On January 9, 2001, in the previously-reported <u>Mahoney</u> (DC) case, the Company was voluntarily dismissed without prejudice by the plaintiff.

On January 12, 2001, in the previously-reported <u>City of Gary</u> (IN) case, the trial court dismissed the complaint in its entirety. Plaintiffs filed an amended complaint that was also dismissed by the court on March 13, 2001. Plaintiffs will most likely appeal.

On February 14, 2001, in the previously-reported Miami-Dade County (FL) case, the Florida appellate court affirmed the trial court's dismissal of all claims. Plaintiffs will most likely appeal.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

- (a) Exhibits None
- (b) The Company did not file any reports on Form 8-K during the three months ended March 31, 2001.

STURM, RUGER & COMPANY, INC.

FORM 10-Q FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2001 SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

STURM, RUGER & COMPANY, INC.

Date: May 7, 2001 S/ERLE G. BLANCHARD

Erle G. Blanchard Principal Financial Officer, Vice Chairman, President, Chief Operating Officer, Treasurer and Director